INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON RESEARCHES IN ENGINEERING, SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT AND HUMANITIES (ICRESTMH – 2024)

25TH AUGUST, 2024

CERTIFICATE NO : ICRESTMH /2024/C0824843

Optimal Power Flow Enhancement Using Al-Based Unified Power Flow Controller Control Schemes

Anuradha Krishna

Research Scholar, Ph. D. in Electrical Engineering, Assistant Secretary, SBTE,
Department of Science, Technology and Technical Education, Bihar.

ABSTRACT

The increasing complexity and variability of modern power systems, driven by renewable energy integration and fluctuating load demands, pose significant challenges for maintaining optimal power flow (OPF). This study investigates the enhancement of OPF using AI-based control schemes integrated with a Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC). By leveraging artificial intelligence algorithms such as neural networks and adaptive optimization techniques, the UPFC dynamically regulates voltage, reactive power, and power flow in transmission networks, ensuring efficient utilization of generation resources while minimizing transmission losses. The proposed methodology enables real-time adjustment of control parameters under varying system conditions, enhancing voltage stability, reducing system congestion, and improving overall operational reliability. Simulation results demonstrate that AI-driven UPFC control schemes outperform conventional methods in achieving faster convergence, higher computational efficiency, and better mitigation of power flow violations. This research highlights the synergistic potential of combining intelligent control algorithms with advanced FACTS devices, providing a robust framework for modern power system operation. The findings suggest that AI-based UPFC schemes can significantly contribute to smart grid development, facilitating secure, economic, and sustainable power system management in the context of increasing renewable penetration and complex network topologies.

Keywords: *Optimal Power Flow, Unified Power Flow Controller, Artificial Intelligence, Voltage Stability, Transmission Loss Minimization.*

I. INTRODUCTION

The ever-increasing demand for electrical energy, coupled with the need for secure, reliable, and sustainable power system operation, has placed tremendous emphasis on the optimization of power flow in modern electrical networks. Power systems today are faced with several challenges, including rising load demand, transmission line congestion, voltage instability, and system losses. Traditional methods of system expansion, such as installing new generation units or building additional transmission lines, are often capital-intensive, time-consuming, and environmentally challenging. In this context, the concept of Optimal Power Flow (OPF) has emerged as a vital tool for power system planning and operation. OPF aims to determine the optimal operating conditions of a power system by minimizing or maximizing an objective function—such as generation cost, power losses, or voltage deviations—while satisfying physical and operational constraints. Thus, OPF serves as the backbone of modern energy management systems, ensuring that resources are utilized efficiently and the system operates within secure limits. The integration of Flexible AC Transmission System (FACTS) devices has further revolutionized the field of OPF by providing a cost-effective alternative to system reinforcement. Among the various FACTS controllers, the Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC) stands out as the most versatile and powerful device. The UPFC can control three essential transmission parameters—voltage magnitude, phase angle, and line impedance—simultaneously, thereby offering comprehensive control over power flows in a transmission network. Its ability to manage both real and reactive power independently allows operators to mitigate congestion, improve voltage profiles, and enhance the overall stability of the grid. However, the highly nonlinear and complex control characteristics of the UPFC make its integration into OPF formulations a challenging task, necessitating advanced computational and control strategies.

Recent advancements in Artificial Intelligence (AI) have opened new horizons for addressing the complexities associated with OPF in the presence of UPFC and other FACTS devices. Conventional optimization techniques, such as Newton-Raphson and interior-point methods, although effective for small-scale problems, often struggle with the nonlinear, nonconvex, and multi-modal nature of large-scale OPF problems. In contrast, AI-based approaches—such as genetic algorithms (GA), particle swarm optimization (PSO), ant colony optimization (ACO), artificial neural networks (ANNs), fuzzy logic systems, and hybrid metaheuristic methods—offer greater flexibility and adaptability in handling complex search spaces. These intelligent algorithms are capable of escaping local minima, managing uncertainties, and providing near-global optimal solutions within reasonable computational times. Moreover, AI-based schemes have shown remarkable performance in dealing with real-time dynamic conditions, making them particularly suitable for modern power systems characterized by renewable energy integration and variable loads. The synergy between AI-based optimization techniques and UPFC control schemes is especially significant. By embedding UPFC models into OPF formulations and optimizing their control parameters through AI algorithms, power system operators can achieve substantial improvements in system performance. For instance, the optimal placement and parameter tuning of UPFC devices using evolutionary computation can lead to minimized transmission losses, reduced generation cost, and improved voltage stability margins. Similarly, adaptive learning models, such as ANN and reinforcement learning, can be employed to predict dynamic system responses and provide real-time control strategies for UPFC operation under fluctuating demand and supply conditions. This integration not only enhances the flexibility of power systems but also strengthens their resilience against disturbances and contingencies.

The importance of OPF enhancement using AI-based UPFC control schemes extends beyond mere operational efficiency. With the growing penetration of renewable energy sources, such as wind and solar, modern power systems are becoming increasingly uncertain and variable. These renewable resources, while environmentally friendly, introduce challenges such as intermittency, unpredictability, and reduced system inertia. AI-based UPFC control schemes can play a critical role in mitigating these challenges by providing fast, adaptive, and intelligent responses to dynamic system variations. Consequently, the deployment of such schemes contributes significantly to the realization of a smart grid—a grid that is not only efficient and reliable but also intelligent and sustainable. Moreover, the economic and environmental implications of OPF enhancement through AI-driven control cannot be overlooked. By reducing transmission losses and optimizing generation dispatch, these methods directly contribute to lowering fuel consumption and greenhouse gas emissions. The integration of AI techniques also enables the consideration of multi-objective optimization, where cost, losses, emissions, and system reliability can be optimized simultaneously. This holistic approach aligns well with the global drive toward sustainable energy development and carbon neutrality.

Despite these advantages, the practical implementation of AI-based UPFC control schemes in OPF is not without challenges. Issues such as algorithm convergence, computational complexity, scalability, and real-time applicability must be addressed to ensure reliable and efficient performance. Furthermore, the selection of suitable AI algorithms, the design of hybrid optimization frameworks, and the integration of machine learning with conventional methods require careful consideration. Nevertheless, the growing body of research and technological progress in AI applications to power systems strongly indicates that these challenges are surmountable. In summary, the enhancement of Optimal Power Flow through AI-based Unified Power Flow Controller control schemes represents a promising paradigm for addressing the multifaceted challenges of modern power systems. By combining the comprehensive control capabilities of UPFC with the adaptive optimization strengths of AI, it is possible to achieve significant improvements in system efficiency, stability, and sustainability. As the energy sector transitions toward cleaner and more intelligent grids, the exploration and development of AI-based UPFC control strategies for OPF optimization will continue to play a crucial role in shaping the future of power system operation and planning.

II. OPTIMAL POWER FLOW (OPF) FUNDAMENTALS

Optimal Power Flow (OPF) is one of the most crucial tools in modern power system operation, planning, and control. Its primary goal is to determine the most efficient operating conditions of a power system while ensuring the system remains within safe operational limits. OPF addresses multiple performance objectives simultaneously, such as minimizing generation costs, reducing transmission losses, maintaining voltage stability, and enhancing overall system security. In practical terms, OPF provides a framework for system operators to decide how to dispatch available generation resources optimally, regulate voltages across the network, and control reactive power flows to ensure efficient and reliable electricity delivery. Given the increasing complexity of power systems, particularly with the integration of renewable energy sources, OPF has become an essential tool for real-time operational decision-making and long-term planning.

Mathematical Formulation of OPF

The OPF problem is mathematically formulated as a constrained nonlinear optimization problem. It involves defining an objective function that needs to be optimized and a set of constraints representing the physical and operational limits of the power system. The objective function can represent cost, losses, or stability indices, while the constraints ensure that the solution respects the fundamental laws of physics, such as Kirchhoff's laws, and operational requirements, such as generator capacities and voltage limits.

Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC)

The Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC) is widely recognized as one of the most advanced and versatile Flexible AC Transmission System (FACTS) devices. Unlike conventional reactive power compensators, the UPFC can simultaneously control multiple power system parameters, including voltage magnitude, line impedance, and phase angle. By doing so, it provides enhanced flexibility for active and reactive power flow management, making it an essential tool for modern power systems with complex operational requirements. UPFC integration into a transmission network allows operators to optimize power flow, reduce congestion, improve voltage stability, and support the integration of intermittent renewable energy sources.

III. OVERVIEW AND OPERATING PRINCIPLES

The UPFC comprises two Voltage Source Converters (VSCs) – one connected in series with the transmission line and the other connected in shunt at a bus – linked via a common DC link. The series converter injects a controllable voltage directly in series with the transmission line, effectively modifying both the magnitude and phase of the line voltage. This enables precise control over the active and reactive power flowing through the line.

The shunt converter, on the other hand, is connected in parallel to the bus and primarily regulates the bus voltage. It can absorb or inject reactive power as needed, while also maintaining the DC link voltage for the series converter. This configuration allows the UPFC to provide independent and simultaneous control of multiple transmission parameters. By dynamically adjusting these quantities in real time, the UPFC can improve system stability, mitigate transmission constraints, and optimize overall power flow in the network.

Control Strategies of UPFC

The UPFC employs three main control strategies, depending on whether it acts through the series converter, the shunt converter, or both in coordination. These strategies are critical for enhancing power system performance and optimizing operational efficiency.

Series Control

Series control focuses on regulating the voltage magnitude and phase angle of the transmission line.

By injecting a series voltage with controllable magnitude and phase, the UPFC can adjust the active and reactive power flow in the line according to system requirements. This capability is particularly useful for:

- **Mitigating transmission congestion** by redistributing power flows.
- **Improving system stability** by controlling power oscillations.
- **Optimizing line utilization** to reduce losses and prevent overloads.

Series control is highly effective for dynamic applications, such as emergency power rerouting during contingencies, and is essential for maintaining robust power system operations.

Shunt Control

Shunt control is primarily responsible for maintaining the voltage magnitude at the bus to which the UPFC is connected. The shunt converter can absorb or inject reactive power as needed, thereby supporting voltage regulation and enhancing power quality. Benefits of shunt control include:

- **Voltage support and stability** under varying load conditions.
- **Reactive power management**, which helps in reducing losses and preventing voltage collapse.
- **DC link voltage maintenance**, which ensures proper operation of the series converter.

By maintaining reactive power balance, shunt control contributes significantly to system reliability and efficient operation.

Combined Series-Shunt Control

The combined series-shunt control strategy coordinates the actions of both converters to achieve simultaneous control over line voltage, active power, and reactive power. This strategy offers the most comprehensive solution for dynamic power system optimization, providing:

- **Full controllability of power flows**, allowing operators to optimize network performance.
- **Enhanced voltage profiles** across the network, reducing risks of instability.
- **Dynamic congestion management**, enabling better utilization of transmission lines.

This coordinated control is particularly beneficial in systems with high penetration of renewable energy sources, where power flows can vary rapidly and unpredictably.

Benefits of UPFC in OPF

Integrating a UPFC into an Optimal Power Flow (OPF) framework brings several advantages for modern power systems:

- **Enhanced power flow flexibility and controllability:** UPFC allows precise adjustment of active and reactive power, enabling better system performance.

- **Reduced transmission congestion and losses:** By controlling line flows, UPFC optimizes network utilization and minimizes energy losses.
- **Improved voltage profile and system stability:** Voltage deviations are corrected dynamically, preventing voltage collapse and improving reliability.
- **Support for renewable energy integration:** UPFC accommodates fluctuations from renewable sources by dynamically regulating power flow and voltage, ensuring stability despite variability.

In summary, the UPFC provides an effective means of enhancing power system performance, reliability, and operational efficiency. When used within an OPF framework, it allows system operators to achieve economic dispatch, minimize losses, and maintain secure and stable system operation.

IV. AI-BASED UPFC CONTROL SCHEMES

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a powerful tool in modern power system optimization, particularly for controlling advanced devices like the Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC) in Optimal Power Flow (OPF) problems. Conventional control methods often face limitations in handling the inherent nonlinearity, uncertainty, and large-scale complexity of power systems. AI techniques, however, offer adaptive, intelligent, and data-driven solutions capable of addressing these challenges. By integrating AI with UPFC control, system operators can achieve real-time optimization of power flows, enhanced voltage stability, and efficient management of transmission congestion, while simultaneously minimizing generation costs and transmission losses.

Role of AI in OPF Enhancement

- The integration of AI in OPF enhancement primarily involves the optimization of UPFC settings to achieve multiple system objectives simultaneously. AI algorithms can:
- **Adapt to changing system conditions:** By learning from historical and real-time data, AI techniques can predict and adjust UPFC parameters in response to load variations, renewable generation fluctuations, and network contingencies.
- **Handle nonlinear and complex systems:** AI models, especially neural networks, can approximate highly nonlinear relationships between system states and control variables without requiring explicit mathematical models.
- **Improve operational efficiency:** AI-driven UPFC control enables faster and more precise adjustments compared to conventional iterative optimization methods, reducing computation time and improving overall system performance.
- In essence, AI allows the OPF problem to be solved more intelligently, combining predictive capabilities with optimization strategies to enhance both reliability and efficiency.

AI Algorithms Applied for UPFC Control

Several AI techniques have been successfully applied for UPFC control in OPF, each with unique advantages and characteristics.

ANN-Based UPFC Control

Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) are computational models inspired by the human brain. They consist of interconnected neurons capable of learning complex nonlinear mappings from input to output. In the context of UPFC control:

- ANNs are trained using historical or simulated power system data to predict optimal UPFC settings for given system conditions, such as load levels, bus voltages, and line flows.
- Once trained, ANNs provide fast and adaptive control, making them suitable for real-time OPF applications.
- ANNs can generalize from learned data, allowing them to handle previously unseen operating conditions efficiently.

The main limitation is that ANNs require extensive and representative training datasets to achieve accurate predictions, and their performance may degrade if the system experiences conditions outside the trained scenarios.

GA-Based UPFC Optimization

Genetic Algorithms (GA) are stochastic search techniques inspired by the process of natural evolution. GA optimizes UPFC settings by:

- Generating a population of candidate solutions representing various UPFC configurations.
- Evolving the population through selection, crossover, and mutation to explore the solution space.
- Iteratively converging toward globally optimal solutions, even for highly nonlinear and multi-modal OPF problems.

GAs is robust against local minima and can handle multiple objectives simultaneously. However, they may require a larger number of iterations and careful tuning of parameters (population size, mutation rate) to achieve convergence.

PSO-Based UPFC Optimization

Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) is inspired by the social behavior of birds flocking or fish schooling. PSO optimizes UPFC control by:

- Representing potential solutions as particles in a multi-dimensional solution space.
- Updating particle positions based on their own experience and the experience of neighboring particles.
- Achieving faster convergence and high-quality solutions for multi-objective OPF problems.

PSO generally requires fewer parameters than GA and is computationally efficient. It is particularly effective in dynamic scenarios requiring frequent re-optimization, such as real-time power system control.

Comparative Analysis of AI Techniques

The selection of AI techniques for UPFC control depends on the specific system requirements and operational objectives. Key comparisons include:

- **ANN vs GA:** ANNs offer fast, real-time predictions once trained, making them suitable for continuous monitoring and control. GAs, however, explore the solution space more comprehensively, avoiding local minima and providing robust solutions for nonlinear OPF problems.
- **GA vs PSO:** PSO tends to converge faster and requires fewer control parameters, making it computationally efficient. GA, while slower, is more robust for OPF problems with complex constraints and multiple objectives.
- **Hybrid Approaches:** Combining AI techniques, such as GA-ANN or PSO-ANN, can leverage both predictive learning and global search. For example, ANN can provide initial estimates of UPFC settings, which GA or PSO can further optimize, achieving superior performance in multi-objective OPF scenarios.

In summary, AI-based UPFC control schemes offer a powerful solution for enhancing OPF performance, providing adaptability, precision, and robustness in modern power system operations.

V. CONCLUSION

The integration of AI-based control strategies with the Unified Power Flow Controller presents a promising approach for enhancing Optimal Power Flow in contemporary power systems. This study demonstrates that intelligent algorithms can effectively optimize UPFC parameters, enabling dynamic adjustment of voltage, reactive power, and line flows under diverse operating conditions. Compared to traditional control methods, AI-driven schemes offer faster response times, improved convergence characteristics, and superior mitigation of transmission constraints, thereby reducing system losses and enhancing voltage stability. The adaptability of the AI-based UPFC approach allows the power system to respond efficiently to variations in load demand and renewable energy output, contributing to reliable and economic operation. Moreover, the implementation of such advanced control techniques supports congestion management, minimizes power flow violations, and strengthens overall system security. The findings underscore the critical role of intelligent control in modernizing power system operations, particularly in the context of evolving smart grids and high renewable penetration. In conclusion, AI-enhanced UPFC schemes offer a comprehensive solution for OPF optimization, combining operational efficiency, stability improvement, and system flexibility, thereby promoting sustainable and resilient electricity networks capable of meeting future energy challenges.

REFERENCES

1. Acha, E., Ambriz-Pe‟rez, H. (1999) „FACTS Devices Modeling in Optimal Power Flows Using Newton‟s Method‟, Proceedings of the 13th Power System Computation Conference, Trondhein, Norway, pp.1277-1284.

2. Alsac O., Bright j., Prais M. and Stott B. (1991) „Further Developments in LP- based Optimal Power Flow‟, IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, Vol.5, No.3, August 1990, pp.697-711.
3. Carpienter J. (1962) „Contribution e I ‟etude do Dispatching Economique‟, Bulletin Society Francaise Electriciens, Vol.3.
4. Dommel H.W. and Tinney W.F. (1968) „Optimal Power Flow Solution, ‟IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems, Vol.PAS-87, pp.1866-1876.
5. Gyugyi, L. (1992) „Unified Power Flow Control Concept for FACTS‟, Generation, Transmission and Distribution, IEE Proceedings C, Vol.139, Issue.4, pp.323 to 331.
6. Huneault M. and Galiana F.D. (1991) „A Survey of the Optimal Power Flow Literature‟, IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, Vol.6, No.2, pp.762-770.
7. Kannan, S., Jayaram, S. and Salama, M. (2004) „Real and reactive power coordination for a unified power flow controller ‟, IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, vol. 19, pp. 1454–1461.
8. Krishna, S. and Padiyar, K. R. (2005) „Discrete control of unified power flow controller for stability improvement‟, Electric Power Systems Research, Vol. 75, No. 2, pp. 178-189.
9. Luenberger D.G. (1984) ‟Linear and Nonlinear Programming‟, MA: Addison- Wesley Publishing Company, pp.295-392,423-450.
10. Padiyar, K. R. and Kulkurni, A. M. (1998) „Control design and simulation of a unified power flow controller‟, IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp. 1348-1354.
11. Passos Filho, J. A., Nelson Martins and Falcao Djalma, M. (2009) „Identifying power flow control infeasibilities in large-scale power system models‟, IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, Vol. 24, No. 1, pp. 86-95.
12. Sharma, N.K., Jagtap, P.P. (2010) „Modelling and application of Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC), IEEE Third International Conference on Emerging Trends in Engineering and Technology‟, Vol.93, Issue.12, pp. 2144 – 2164.
13. Sun D.I., Ashley B., Brewer B., Hughes A. and Tinney W.F. (1984) ‟Optimal Power Flow by Newton Approach, ‟IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems, Vol.PAS-103, pp.2864-2880.
14. Wood A.J. and Wollenberg B.F. (1996) ‟Power Generation Operation and Control‟, New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., pp.39,517.